UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,637	03/17/2004	Kenneth R. Czerwinski	0492611-0546 (MIT 9986)	4916
	7590 08/25/200 LL & STEWART LLP		EXAMINER	
TWO INTERN	ATIONAL PLACE		LILLING, HERBERT J	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@choate.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/802,637	CZERWINSKI E Art Unit 1657 N/A. If an agreement rejections over and red would render the substance of been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	ΓAL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HERBERT J. LILLING	1657					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>HERBERT J. LILLING</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>FANGLI CHEN REG NO 51551</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: August 19, 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>NONE</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>IDS AUG 07 2008</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The art submitted 08-07-08 has been consid & init-no rejections over art. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS							
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE INTERPRE	ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, ¹	WHICHEVER IS	LATER, TO				
/HERBERT J LILLING/							